2021-07-08 The 8th KAGRA International Workshop

Probing Diffuse Dark Matter Haloes with Diffractive Lensing of GW

Han Gil Choi Seoul National University



Based on "Small-scale shear: peeling off diffuse subhalos with gravitational waves" arXiv : 2103.08618[astro-ph.CO] <u>Han Gil Choi</u>, Chanung Park and Sunghoon Jung,

- I. Motivation
- II. Diffractive Gravitational Lensing
- III. Detection prospect
- IV. Summary

I. Motivation

• Dark matter subhalo



According to Cold dark matter(CDM) theory, DM halo has a lot of subhalos.

Test of CDM -> How many? How steep?

I. Motivation

Gravitational lensing of Gravitational Wave Chirps

- : Sensitive to **low mass compact** lens
- Intermediate mass black hole (Lai 2018, Jung 2018)
- Dwarf galaxy (Takahashi 2003, Dai 2018)

- Application to Dark matter subhalo ?
 - Subhalos are diffuse → Weak gravity
 - → Only one signal perturbed by Diffraction
 =Diffractive lensing

We need to understand **Diffractive lensing** of Gravitational wave.

II. Diffractive lensing : Wave optics



II. Diffractive lensing : Apprx. Solution

 $\bar{\kappa}(r)$: (dimless) Mean surface density of DM halo within a radius r

With weak lensing assumption, We find that

$$F(w) \simeq 1 + \frac{w}{id_{\text{eff}}} \int_0^\infty dx x e^{i\frac{wx^2}{d_{\text{eff}}}} \overline{\kappa}(x)$$
$$\Rightarrow F(w) \simeq 1 + \overline{\kappa} \left(\frac{r_F}{\sqrt{2}} e^{i\pi/4}\right) \qquad r_F \equiv \sqrt{\frac{2d_{\text{eff}}}{w}}$$



 $d_{\text{eff}} = \frac{d_l(d_s - d_l)}{d_s}$: effective distance between lens and observer

- F(w) is equivalent to DM Halo profile.
- We can easily find **F(w) analytically** if mean surface density is given by analytic function.

II. Diffractive lensing : Apprx. Solution

Application to more diffuse DM halo profile : Navarro-Frenk-White(NFW) profile



W

II. Diffractive lensing : Condition

Due to wave optics effects,

Point source has an **effective source size** (Fresnel length), $r_F = \sqrt{\frac{2d_{eff}}{w}}$.

$$r_F \simeq 1.76 \mathrm{pc} \sqrt{\left(\frac{d_{\mathrm{eff}}}{\mathrm{Gpc}}\right) \left(\frac{\mathrm{Hz}}{f}\right)} \sim (\mathrm{sub\ halo\ length\ scale})$$

GW chirps from **massive Black hole binaries** : low frequency, large source distance, broad spectrum



II. Diffractive lensing : GW spectrum

Diffractive lensing-induced chirping GW spectrum



III. Detection prospect : GW detector



Laser Interferometer space antenna(LISA)

Sensitive at 1mHz



Big Bang Observer(BBO)

Sensitive at 0.1 Hz

III. Detection prospect

 $\dot{N_L}$: Lensing detection per year

- BBO can detect $10^{3-4}M_{\odot}$ halo lensing O(10) per year. In future, BBO will discriminate CDM and the other DM models.
- LISA and the others are less promising.
 - Lack of High Signal-to-Noise Ratio(>1000) BBH sources



BBH Merger rate Solid : 0.01 $Gpc^{-3}yr^{-1}$ Shaded : astrophysical (Bonetti 2018)

IV. Summary

- 1. Diffraction effects of GW can be significant due to its large effective source size(Fresnel length r_F).
- 2. We can detect and measure DM subhalo profile by diffractive lensing of GW since F(w) tell us mass distribution at r_F scale.
- 3. BBO is most promising GW detectors for low mass halo search, which yields O(10) lensing rate for $10^{3-4}M_{\odot}$ haloes.